



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,309	03/03/2004	Adam C. Bedford	STE01 P-1164	4641

277 7590 03/23/2005

PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON, LLP
695 KENMOOR, S.E.
P O BOX 2567
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501

EXAMINER

WHITE, RODNEY BARNETT

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3636

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/792,309

Applicant(s)

BEDFORD ET AL.

Examiner

Rodney B. White

Art Unit

3636

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rodney B. White.

(3) _____.

(2) Daniel L. Girdwood (#34,827).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11, and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Faiks et al (U.S. Patent Nos. 5,026,117 and 5,160,184) and Holbrook et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,585,320 B2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



RODNEY B. WHITE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the differences between the present invention and the above references. Agreed that the present invention was patentably distinct over the Holbrook et al reference. But it was determined that additional language is needed in the independent claims to render them patentably distinct over the Faiks et al references. Language will be added to Claim 1 of the present invention so that the "stop member" is defined as being - - self-sustained in the disengaged first position - -. Claim 11 will be amended to read that - - a single actuator operably coupled to both the first adjustment mechanism and the second mechanism and selectively operating one of the said mechanisms when in a first position and for selectively operating both of said mechanisms when in a second position - -. Claim 15 will be amended to define - - a stop member for engaging the adjustable component, an actuator adjusting the stop member into engagement with the adjustable component - - and that the "over-torque mechanism" connects the handle to the "stop member". This language was discussed and will be added to those claims in a formal Amendment to be filed in response to the office action mailed 2/1/2005 and this Interview.